

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/008,739	11/09/2001	Tessa A. Castleberry	PC10893AGPR	6055		
7:	590 06/07/2004		EXAM	INER		
Gregg C. Benson			MURPHY,	MURPHY, JOSEPH F		
Pfizer Inc. Patent Departm	nent, MS 4159		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Eastern Point Road			1646			
Groton, CT 0	6340		DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/008,739	CASTLEBERRY ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Joseph F Murphy	1646						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	<u> 3 April 2004</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-13 and 16-18 is/s 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/are withdrawn from consider	ation.						
Application Papers		•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)	_							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draitspersor's Faterit Drawing Review (FTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/08) 5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) uence Comparison A.						

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-3, 14-15, in the reply filed 04/13/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that that it would not be an undue burden on the Examiner to search all of the claims of this application at once because all of the claims are drawn to the same protein, it's encoding DNA, or methods of using the same. Applicant alleges that it would not be an undue burden upon the Examiner to search all claims simultaneously. This is not found persuasive because Applicant's attention is directed to MPEP 808.02 which states that "Where the related inventions as claimed are shown to be distinct under the criteria of MPEP 806.05 (c-i), the examiner, in order to establish reasons for insisting upon restriction, must show by appropriate explanation one of the following: (A) Separate classification thereof; (B) A separate status in the art when they are classifiable together; (C) A different field of search." As set forth in the Restriction requirement, Group I is classified in class 530, subclass 350; Group II is classified in class 435, subclass 69.1; Group III is classified in class 530, subclass 350, but has a different amino acid sequence than the polypeptide of Group I; Group IV is classified in class 435, subclass 7.2. The separate classification established for each Group demonstrates that each distinct Group has attained recognition in the art as a separate subject for inventive effort, and also a separate field of search. The proteins of Inventions I and III are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function, and each has an independent use, that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged. In the instant case the proteins have characteristic differences in their structure, as evidenced by the differing amino acid sequences.

Art Unit: 1646

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 first paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-2, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, which is enabling for a full-length canine androgen receptor of SEQ ID NO: 2, does not reasonably provide enablement for an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: with one or more conservative substitutions therein. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims are drawn to an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 with one or more conservative substitutions therein. Claims 1-2, 14-15 are overly broad since insufficient guidance is provided as to which of the myriad of variant polypeptides will retain the characteristics of caAR. The claims are directed to variant polypeptides. However, Applicants do not disclose any actual or prophetic examples on expected performance parameters of any of the possible muteins of caAR. It is known in the art that even single amino acid changes or differences in the amino acid sequence of a protein can have dramatic effects on the protein's function. For example, As an example of the unpredictable effects of mutations on protein function, Mickle et al. (Mickle JE et al. Genotype-phenotype relationships in cystic fibrosis. Med Clin North Am. 2000 May;84(3):597-607) teaches that cystic fibrosis is an autosomal recessive disorder caused by abnormal function of a chloride channel, referred to as the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) (page 597). Several mutations can cause

Art Unit: 1646

CF, including the G551D mutation. In this mutation a glycine replaces the aspartic acid at position 551, giving rise to the CF phenotype. In the most common CF mutation, delta-F508, a single phenylalanine is deleted at position 508, giving ride to the CF phenotype. Thus showing that even the substitution or deletion of a single amino acid in the entire 1480 amino acid CFTR protein sequence can have dramatic and unpredictable effects on the function of the protein. Additionally, it is known in the art that even a single amino acid change in a protein's sequence can drastically affect the structure of the protein and the architecture of an entire cell. For example, Voet et al. (Voet et al. Biochemistry. 1990. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. pages 126-128 and 228-234) teaches that a single Glu to Val substitution in the beta subunit of hemoglobin causes the hemoglobin molecules to associate with one another in such a manner that, in homozygous individuals, erythrocytes are altered from their normal discoid shape and assume the sickle shape characteristic of sickle-cell anemia, causing hemolytic anemia and blood flow blockages (pages 126-128, section 6-3A and page 230, column 2, first paragraph). Additionally, Yan et al. teaches that in certain cases, a change of only two-amino acid residues in a protein results in switching the binding of the protein from one receptor to another (Yan et al., Twoamino acid molecular switch in an epithelial morphogen that regulates binding to two distinct receptors. Science 290: 523-527, 2000). Since the claims encompass variant polypeptides and given the art recognized unpredictability of the effect of mutations on protein function, it would require undue experimentation to make and use the claimed invention. See In re Wands, 858 F.2d at 737, 8 USPO2d at 1404. The test of enablement is not whether any experimentation is necessary, but whether, if experimentation is necessary, it is undue. While the claims set forth a functional limitation for the variant polypeptides wherein the polypeptide has canine AR activity,

Art Unit: 1646

this term is indefinite (see infra). Additionally, the amino acid sequence of a polypeptide determines its structural and functional properties, and the predictability of which amino acids can be substituted is extremely complex and outside the realm of routine experimentation, because accurate predictions of a polypeptide's structure from mere sequence data are limited. Since detailed information regarding the structural and functional requirements of the polynucleotide and the encoded polypeptide are lacking, it is unpredictable as to which variations, if any, meet the limitations of the claims. Applicant is required to enable one of skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention, while the claims encompass polynucleotides and encoded polypeptides which the specification only teaches one skilled in the art to test for functional variants. It would require undue experimentation for one of skill in the art to make and use the claimed polypeptides. Since the claims do not enable one of skill in the art to make and use the claimed polypeptides, but only teaches how to screen for the claimed polypeptides, and since detailed information regarding the structural and functional requirements of the polypeptides are lacking, it is unpredictable as to which variations, if any, meet the limitations of the claims. Thus, since Applicant has only taught how to test for polypeptide variants of caAR, and has not taught how to make polypeptide variants of caAR, it would require undue experimentation of one of skill in the art to make and use the claimed polypeptides.

Claims 14-15 would not be enabled insofar as they read on SEQ ID NO:2. First, the breadth of the claims is excessive since the claims read on all pharmaceutical compositions to treat all diseases.

Applicants have provided no guidance or working examples of any methods of treatment for any diseases using this protein, including any data or treatment regimen. Furthermore, it is not predictable to one of ordinary skill in the art how to use a pharmaceutical composition. Applicants can overcome this

Art Unit: 1646

rejection by amending the claims to recite "A composition comprising the proteinaceous molecule according to claim 1 (or 3) and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier therefor."

Claims 1-2, 14-15 are rejected, under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant is directed to the Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1 "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001.

The claims are drawn to an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 with one or more conservative substitutions therein. These are genus claims because the claims are thus directed to variant polypeptides. The specification and claim do not indicate what distinguishing attributes shared by the members of the genus. The scope of the claim includes numerous structural variants, and the genus is highly variant because a significant number of structural differences between genus members is permitted. The specification and claim do not provide any guidance as to what changes should be made. Structural features that could distinguish compounds in the genus from others in the protein class are missing from the disclosure. No common structural attributes identify the members of the genus. The general knowledge and level of skill in the art do not supplement the omitted description because specific, not general, guidance is what is needed. Since the disclosure fails to describe the common attributes or

Art Unit: 1646

characteristics that identify members of the genus, and because the genus is highly variant, SEQ ID NO: 2 is insufficient to describe the genus. The written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant identifying characteristics, i.e. structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between structure and function structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus. In the instant case, the specification fails to provide sufficient descriptive information, such as definitive structural or functional features of the genus of polypeptides. There is no description of the conserved regions that are critical to the structure and function of the genus claimed. There is no description of the sites at which variability may be tolerated and there is no information regarding the relation of structure to function. Structural features that could distinguish the compounds in the genus from other seven transmembrane region compounds are missing from the disclosure. Furthermore, the prior art does not provide compensatory structural or correlative teachings sufficient to enable one of skill to isolate and identify the polynucleotides and polypeptides encompassed. Thus, no identifying characteristics or properties of the instant polypeptides are provided such that one of skill would be able to predictably identify the encompassed molecules as being identical to those instantly claimed. One of skill in the art would reasonably conclude that the disclosure fails to provide a representative number of species to describe the genus. Thus, applicant was not in possession of the claimed genus.

Art Unit: 1646

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 14-15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite in the recitation of the term "canine AR activity". The term "canine AR activity" is not defined by the claim, which gives no definition of what this activity is. The Specification on page 5 sets forth that "activity of caAR" is meant any activity that is measurable by an *in vivo* or *in vitro* caAR assay. However, various biological activities can be attributed to a polypeptide, all of which can be measured by in vivo or in vitro assays. For example, "canine AR activity" could constitute transportation throughout a cell, alteration of tertiary structure due to changes in pH, ligand binding, or modulation of second messenger effect, etc. "Canine AR activity" could also be referring to the ability of the fragment to stimulate antibody production. Claims 2-3, 14-15 are rejected insofar as they depend on the recitation of the term "canine AR activity" in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 1, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tilley et al. (1989).

Tilley et al. teaches the cloning and expression of the human androgen receptor (page 329, Figure 3). This androgen receptor is 89.6% identical to the caAR of SEQ ID NO: 2 (see Sequence Comparison A, attached). Since claim 1 is drawn to an amino acid with one or more conservative changes, and the human AR of Tilley comprises one or more such changes, claim 1 is anticipated. The Tilley reference also teaches a composition of the human AR in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (see page 330, Figure 5), thus claim 14 is anticipated.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Murphy whose telephone number is (571) 272-0877. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (571) 272-0887.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1646

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph F. Murphy, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1646 May 27, 2004

Application: 10068737 Priority Date:	11-8-2000					
Briefed						
Declaration						
Bib Sheet 6W						
Title - Novel? 6						
Abstract - One Paragraph, no legal jargon (n.b. Not "Abstract of the Invention")						
IDS (How Many on Contents? How many Considered?)						
RSL OF	W'skir					
Election Complete?	UDRT					
Election Complete?	M, ZKJC /					
Index of Claims Of						
101 - Utility? Hand of Man? - Even in Methods						
Isolated? Recombinant?						
112 first and second						
Patent Profanity:						
Pharmaceutical Composition - 1st scope Naturally occurring - 1st Allelic Variant - 1st scope and written desc Host cell - not isolated - 1st Eck and Wilson Fragment - No function - 1st Written Desc and Scope Methods: Do the steps=the Preamble 112 2 X Contigs - No function - 1st Written Desc and Scope % Identity = No Function - 1st Written Desc and Scope Stringent - 2nd Essentially - 2nd Acronyms - Objection or 2nd Modulates - 2nd	Lack of Antecedent Basis Failure to Further Limit - Objection Prevent Treat					
102 - Easy 102, do the claims read on:	103					
Random Primers Single Amino Acids	First Reference Teaches First Reference Does Not teach					
Inherency of Methods Product by Process, Examine the Product Inherent Properties	Second Reference Teaches Therefore, it would be obvious Motivation and Expectation Of success					

Sequence Comparison A

```
RESULT 1
A39248
androgen receptor - human
C; Species: Homo sapiens (man)
C;Date: 04-Oct-1991 #sequence revision 04-Oct-1991 #text change 24-Nov-1999
C; Accession: A39248; A30328; A40109; A60946; A34942; A27653; A40108; A40494; A32224;
A40715; A37124
A; Accession: A40494
A; Molecule type: mRNA
A; Residues: 1-74,79-89,'H',90-472,'GGG',473-474,'E',476-644,'N',646-919 <CH2>
A; Cross-references: GB: M23263
R; Tilley, W.D.; Marcelli, M.; Wilson, J.D.; McPhaul, M.J.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 86, 327-331, 1989
A; Title: Characterization and expression of a cDNA encoding the human androgen receptor.
A; Reference number: A32224; MUID: 89098909; PMID: 2911578
A; Accession: A32224
A; Molecule type: mRNA
A; Residues: 1-77,79-211, 'R',213-471,473-919 <TIL>
A; Cross-references: GB:M21748; GB:J04150; NID:g178871; PIDN:AAA51771.1; PID:g178872
R; Mowszowicz, I.; Lee, H.J.; Chen, H.T.; Mestayer, C.; Portois, M.C.; Cabrol, S.;
Mauvais-Jarvis, P.; Chang, C.
Mol. Endocrinol. 7, 861-869, 1993
A; Title: A point mutation in the second zinc finger of the DNA-binding domain of the
androgen receptor gene causes complete androgen insensitivity in two siblings with
receptor-positive androgen resistance.
A; Reference number: A40715; MUID: 94019395; PMID: 8413310
A; Accession: A40715
A; Status: not compared with conceptual translation
A; Molecule type: DNA
A; Residues: 557-614, 'H', 616-624 < MOW>
A;Cross-references: PIDN:AAB28340.1; PID:g425580
C:Genetics:
A; Gene: GDB: AR
A; Cross-references: GDB:120556; OMIM:313700
A; Map position: Xq11-Xq12
A; Introns: 538/2; 589/1; 628/1; 724/1; 772/2; 816/1; 868/3
C; Superfamily: unassigned erbA-related proteins; erbA transforming protein homology
C; Keywords: DNA binding; steroid binding; transcription regulation; zinc finger
F;557-815/Domain: erbA transforming protein homology <ERBA>
F;559-579/Region: zinc finger
F;595-619/Region: zinc finger
 Query Match 89.6%; Score 4321; DB 2; Length 919; Best Local Similarity 87.6%; Pred. No. 5.2e-230;
 Matches 822; Conservative
                             20; Mismatches
                                              46; Indels
                                                           50; Gaps
           1 MEVQLGLGRVYPRPPSKTYRGAFQNLFQSVREVIQNPGPRHPEAVSAAPPGAHL----- 54
Qу
             {\tt 1~MEVQLGLGRVYPRPPSKTYRGAFQNLFQSVREVIQNPGPRHPEAASAAPPGASLLLLQQQ~60}\\
Db
                    -QQQQQQQQQETSPRQQQQQQQDDGSPQAQSRGPTGYLALDEEQQPSQQRS 106
Ov
                     61 QQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQETSPR-QQQQQQGEDGSPQAHRRGPTGYLVLDEEQQPSQPQS 119
Db
         107 ASKGHPESACVPEPGVTSATGKGLQQQQPAPPDENDSAAPSTLSLLGPTFPGLSSCSTDL 166
Qу
             Db
         120 ALECHPERGCVPEPGAAVAASKGLPQQLPAPPDEDDSAAPSTLSLLGPTFPGLSSCSADL 179
         167 KDILSEAGTMQLLQQQRQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQXSSGRAREAAGASTSSKD 226
Qу
             1111111111111111111111
Db
         180 KDILSEASTMOLL-
                                          -QQQQQEAVSEGSSSGRAREASGAPTSSKD 221
         227 SYLGGSSTISDSAKELCKAVSVSMGLGVEALEHLSPGEOLRGDCMYAPLLGGPPAVR--P 284
Oν
             Db
Qу
         285 CAPLAECKGSLLDDGPGKGTEETAEYSPFKAGYAKGLDGDSLGCSSSSEAGGSGTLEMPS 344
```

	Db .	282	${\tt CAPLAECKGSLLDDSAGKSTEDTAEYSPFKGGYTKGLEGESLGCSGSAAAGSSGTLELPS}$	341
	Qу	345	TLSLYKSGALDEAAAYQSRDYYNFPLSLGGPPPHPPPPHPHTRIKLENPLDYGSAWAAAA	404
	Db	342	TLSLYKSGALDEAAAYQSRDYYNFPLALAGPPPPPPPPPPPPHHARIKLENPLDYGSAWAAAA	401
	Qу	405	AQCRYGDLASLHGAGAAGPSSGSPSATTSSSWHTLFTAEEGQLYGPCGGSGGGSAGDG	462 .
	Db	402	AQCRYGDLASLHGAGAAGPGSGSPSAAASSSWHTLFTAEEGQLYGPCGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	461
	Qу	463	GSVAPYGYTRPPQGLAGQEGDFPPPDVWYPGGVVSRVPFPSPSCVKS	509
	Db	462	GGGGGGGGGGGGAVAPYGYTRPPQGLAGQESDFTAPDVWYPGGMVSRVPYPSPTCVKS	521
•	Qy	510	EMGSWMESYSGPYGDMRLETARDHVLPIDYYFPPQKTCLICGDEASGCHYGALTCGSCKV	569
	Db	522	EMGPWMDSYSGPYGDMRLETARDHVLPIDYYFPPQKTCLICGDEASGCHYGALTCGSCKV	581
	Qy	570	FFKRAAEGKQKYLCASRNDCTIDKFRRKNCPSCRLRKCYEAGMTLGARKLKKLGNLKLQE	629
	Db	582	FFKRAAEGKQKYLCASRNDCTIDKFRRKNCPSCRLRKCYEAGMTLGARKLKKLGNLKLQE	641
	Qy	630	EGEASNVTSPTEEPTQKLTVSHIEGYECQPIFLNVLEAIEPGVVCAGHDNNQPDSFAALL	689
	Db	642	EGEASSTTSPTEETTQKLTVSHIEGYECQPIFLNVLEAIEPGVVCAGHDNNQPDSFAALL	701
	Qy	690	SSLNELGERQLVHVVKWAKALPGFRNLHVDDQMAVIQYSWMGLMVFAMGWRSFTNVNSRM	749
	Db	702	SSLNELGERQLVHVVKWAKALPGFRNLHVDDQMAVIQYSWMGLMVFAMGWRSFTNVNSRM	761
	Qy	750	LYFAPDLVFNEYRMHKSRMYSQCVRMRHLSQEFGWLQITPQEFLCMKALLLFSIIPVDGL	809
	Db	762	LYFAPDLVFNEYRMHKSRMYSQCVRMRHLSQEFGWLQITPQEFLCMKALLLFSIIPVDGL	821
	Qу	810	KNQKFFDELRMNYIKELDRIIACKRKNPTSCSRRFYQLTKLLDSVQPIARELHQFTFDLL	869
	Db	822	KNQKFFDELRMNYIKELDRIIACKRKNPTSCSRRFYQLTKLLDSVQPIARELHQFTFDLL	881
	QУ	870	IKSHMVSVDFPEMMAE SVQVPK LSGKVKP YFHTQ 907	
	Db	882	IKSHMVSVDFPEMMAEIISVQVPKILSGKVKPIYFHTQ 919	